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N.C. Gen. Stat. §7A-38.2(b) provides, “[t]he administration of mediator certification, regulation of mediator conduct, and certification shall be conducted through the Dispute Resolution Commission, established under the Judicial Department.” On August 28, 1998, the Commission adopted an Advisory Opinions Policy encouraging mediators to seek guidance on dilemmas that arise in the context of their mediation practices. In adopting the Policy and issuing opinions, the Commission seeks to educate mediators and to protect the public.  

Concern Raised  

Certified mediator, who is a lawyer, is asked by a married couple to mediate an agreement to divide their property and to assign spousal support. The married couple has separated and intends to divorce, but the parties are not represented by legal counsel and have not filed pleadings with the court. They advise the mediator that they are not interested in retaining attorneys to assist them with the mediation. The mediator conducts the mediation and the parties reach an agreement on all issues. The couple then advises the mediator that they want him to prepare a binding agreement for their signatures. Mediator asks the following:  

(1) Whether he may ethically prepare the agreement for the couple under the circumstances described and, if so, what the ethical responsibilities and constraints are that he should consider in undertaking this task?  

The parties also ask the mediator to help them file their agreement with the court. The mediator understands that because he has served as their mediator, he cannot now represent one of them in the action. (See Standard VII.C and Advisory Opinion No. 6 (2004)). However, he questions whether he can provide other assistance to them in finalizing their agreement and asks the following:  

(2) Whether he may file an action on their behalf for the sole purpose of having their agreement incorporated into a court order by consent?
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(1) Preparation of Agreement

This inquiry is based upon facts that occur with great frequency. A divorcing couple asks a mediator for assistance with the resolution of financial and other issues involved in the dissolution of their marriage. They do so with the intent of “one-stop shopping.” They want to hire the mediator to help them discuss their issues and help them make decisions, and they want the mediator to prepare legal documents that will effectuate their agreement, whether by contracts, property settlement agreements, deeds, and/or consent orders. It is understandable that family mediators may be sympathetic to the desire of parties for an economical settlement and may find themselves in the position of being asked to draft binding and enforceable contracts of settlement.

Standard VI, of The Standards of Professional Conduct for Mediators, which is entitled “Separation of Mediation from Legal and Other Professional Advice,” begins as follows: “A mediator shall limit himself or herself solely to the role of mediator, and shall not give legal or other professional advice during the mediation.” Accordingly, to answer the first question of this inquiry, it is necessary to decide whether the preparation of a binding agreement for unrepresented parties constitutes the practice of law. If it does, then the mediator would be in violation of Standard VI in preparing such a document.

N.C. Gen. Stat. §84-2.1 states that the phrase “practicing law” means “performing any legal service for any other person, firm or corporation, with or without compensation …”. The Commission notes that the North Carolina State Bar is the agency responsible for regulating the practice of law in North Carolina, and therefore, of particular importance in this inquiry is how the State Bar interprets “practicing law” within the meaning of the statute. In response to the Commission’s inquiry of the State Bar, the Commission was informed that persons who “draft” contracts for others are “practicing law.”

It is clear from the facts presented in this inquiry that the parties have asked the mediator to draft a contract settling the issues of their divorce; therefore, if the mediator drafts such a contract, he or she would be, according to the State Bar, practicing law. Accordingly, the mediator would do so in violation of Standard VI.

The Commission also cautions certified mediators to review North Carolina State Bar 2012 Formal Ethics Opinion 2. In that opinion, a lawyer-mediator was asked by unrepresented business people to draft a business contract that would resolve the matters in dispute in the mediation. The State Bar opined that the attorney’s conflict of interest in representing two adverse parties could not be waived because he had mediated their dispute. In other words, the attorney had a “non-consentable conflict of interest” and would improperly practice law if he drafts the contract requested by the parties. The facts of the present inquiry are similar, particularly given that the parties are not represented by legal counsel. Accordingly, when a certified mediator is presented with a fact situation as set forth in the present inquiry, the mediator should also consider the ramifications of his actions in light of the State Bar opinion.
The certified mediator may not draft the parties’ settlement agreement in the circumstances presented. To do so would be in violation of Standard VI.

(2) Filing Action to Incorporate Agreement into Court Order

To answer the second question, the Commission must first look to whether the preparation and filing of an action in a court of law is the practice of law. If it is, then the analysis in answer to the first question above would apply, and the mediator should not file the action.

N.C. Gen. Stat. §84-2.1 states that the phrase “practicing law” means “performing any legal service for any other person, firm or corporation, with or without compensation …”. Clearly the preparation and filing of a lawsuit is a legal service and, therefore, the practice of law. If the lawyer-mediator assists the divorcing couple by filing an action to incorporate the agreement into a court order, then he would be practicing law, and thus, mixing the roles of mediator and lawyer.

If the mediator performs this task, and mixes the roles of mediator and lawyer, he runs the risk of violating Standard VI, as discussed above. He would also be in violation of Standard VII, which provides in pertinent part that “[a] mediator who is a lawyer … shall not advise, counsel or represent any of the parties in future matters concerning the subject of the dispute, an action closely related to the dispute or an outgrowth of the dispute …”. It is clear that the mediator would violate Standards VI and VII if he files an action to incorporate the agreement into a court order by consent under the facts of this inquiry.